

11 SEP 2026

Fitch Affirms Eastern Polymer Group at 'A-(tha)'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - Bangkok - 11 Sep 2026: Fitch Ratings (Thailand) has affirmed Eastern Polymer Group Public Company Limited's (EPG) National Long-Term Rating and senior unsecured debt rating at 'A-(tha)'. The Outlook is Stable.

The affirmation reflects Fitch's expectation that growth in insulation and packaging will offset a slow automotive recovery, keeping earnings broadly stable. EPG is likely to continue repaying debt, lowering EBITDA net leverage to 0.3x by the financial year ending March 2028 (FY28) from 0.6x in FY26. Its leading position in a niche market with geographically diverse cash flow supports the ratings, although its small operating scale relative to higher-rated peers is a rating constraint.

Key Rating Drivers

Insulation Offsets Automotive Sales: Fitch expects EPG's revenue to grow at low-to-mid single digits over FY27-FY28, after a 2% decline in FY26 and a 9.2% rise in 1QFY27. Insulation sales grew by 20.8% on US demand for data centers and their associated supply chain, while packaging sales increased by 19.3%. Automotive sales slipped by 2.5%, well short of the 9.5% drop in FY26, as Australian sales returned to growth. Weak domestic automotive demand remains the main drag on revenue.

Margin Improvement Holds: EPG's EBITDA margin is likely to remain at around 15% over FY27-FY28, after rising to 14.6% in FY26 from 13.8% in FY25. Lower administrative costs drove the FY26 gain, arising from a reorganization at EPG. Gross margins rose in 1QFY27 across all three segments, but start-up costs in South Africa and higher US spending are likely to limit further gains.

Wide Leverage Headroom: EPG has wide leverage headroom at the current rating. We expect EBITDA net leverage of 0.5x in FY27, then improving sufficiently in FY28 to withstand a downturn in EPG's export markets. Leverage peaked at 1.3x in FY23, when EPG acquired 4 Way Suspension Products Pty Ltd. (4WS), and has declined since.

Capex Peaks, Then Moderates: Fitch expects EPG's capex to rise to around THB0.8 billion in FY27, from THB0.7 billion in FY26, to fund auto-part capacity expansion in South Africa and insulation capacity expansion in the US, on land EPG bought in FY26. Aeroklas Automotive South Africa started operating in 1QFY27 and began adding revenue and profit. Capex is likely to drop to about THB0.6 billion a year from FY28, mainly for maintenance. We do not expect major acquisitions.

Cash Flow Recovery: Free cash flow (FCF) is likely to be about THB200 million in FY27, then recover to around THB500 million a year over the medium term. It reached THB1.0 billion in FY26, above Fitch's

expectation. EPG built up inventory in 1QFY27 to guard against raw material shortages, which cut operating cash flow in the quarter. Operating cash generation of about THB1.7 billion a year still covers its investment needs. EPG has used FCF to repay debt since FY23 and is likely to continue.

Diverse Products and End-Uses: The three different end-markets for EPG's three businesses are likely to keep group earnings steadier compared with single-market businesses. Auto parts follow the vehicle cycle, insulation is dependent on construction and industrial investment, and packaging relies on food and beverage demand. This mix limits exposure to a downturn in any single market and to the heavy competition for plastic packaging. The businesses also share raw material sourcing and R&D resources.

Geographically Diverse Customers: EPG distributes 50%-60% of its automotive parts and accessories to leading global automakers as an original design manufacturer or equipment manufacturer. It markets the remainder under its own brand to automobile dealers in Thailand and more than 100 other countries. About 20%-30% of EPG's thermal-insulation sales are within Thailand, with half of that volume directed to construction projects. About 90% of its rigid plastic-packaging products are sold locally, of which about 40% goes to leading food and beverage companies and modern-trade retailers.

Small Scale; Feedstock Volatility: EPG's smaller scale than higher-rated peers, which Fitch does not expect will change over the next 24 months, and swings in raw material prices are the main risks. Polymers and plastic beads make up 40%-50% of the cost of goods sold, and costs fluctuate based on crude oil prices. EPG typically passes higher feedstock costs on to customers of automotive parts, accessories and thermal insulation, with a lag of about two months, as it faces fewer competitors for these products. Nevertheless, a sharp rise in polymer prices would still squeeze margins during the lag.

Peer Analysis

EPG's business profile is moderate relative to Thai national peers, but it has a stronger financial profile. EPG can be compared with KCE Electronics Public Company Limited (A-(tha)/Stable), one of the world's top-10 automotive printed circuit board (PCB) producers by revenue. KCE operates in the higher-risk industry of component electronics, but this is offset by its focus on automotive PCBs, a niche segment with higher barriers to entry. KCE and EPG have similar operating scales, typically with wider EBITDA margins at KCE. They are rated at the same level due to their similar business profiles and equally low financial leverage.

Nava Nakorn Electricity Generating Company Limited (NNEG, A-(tha)/Negative), a small power producer located in the Nava Nakorn Industrial Zone, serves state-owned utility Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand (EGAT, BBB+/Negative) and industrial users. EPG benefits from superior business diversification and a marginally larger operating scale than NNEG. However, NNEG has a stronger business risk profile, supported by long-term cash flow visibility via long-term sales contracts and steady demand for its generated electricity. EPG is rated at the same level as NNEG because its leverage is much lower. NNEG's Negative Outlook reflects the risk that it could fail to cut leverage after its debt-funded capacity expansion.

EPG's business scale is much smaller than that of SCG Packaging Public Company Limited (SCGP, A-(tha)/Stable), a key packaging arm of Siam Cement Group, one of Thailand's largest industrial

conglomerates. SCGP has a dominant position in the paper-based packaging business in South-east Asia, counterbalanced by EPG's more diverse array of end-markets and products. SCGP has materially higher financial leverage, but its stronger business profile and scale result in a one-notch higher rating.

Fitch's Key Rating-Case Assumptions

Fitch's Key Assumptions Within Our Rating Case for the Issuer:

- Revenue growth of 2%-3% a year supported by strong insulation demand growth, partly counterbalanced by the automotive segment's slow recovery during FY27-FY29
- Operating EBITDA margin to remain around 15% in FY27-FY29 (FY26: 14.6%)
- Selling, general and administrative expenses to decline gradually to around 26% by FY29, supported by organizational restructuring and operational efficiency improvements (FY25: 26.9%)
- Total capex of about THB600 million-800 million per year in FY27-FY29
- Dividend payout ratio of 55%

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade:

- Lower cash flow or higher investments than Fitch expects, leading to EBITDA net leverage being sustained above 1.5x;
- A weakening in business profile or competitive position, reflected in a sustained decline in EBITDA.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade:

- We do not expect positive rating action due to EPG's smaller operating scale than that of higher-rated peers.

Liquidity and Debt Structure

EPG had THB2.5 billion of debt maturing in the 12 months to end-June 2027, including THB2.1 billion of short-term debt used for working capital and THB436 million for the current portion of long-term loans. This is comfortably covered by cash and cash equivalents of THB2.7 billion and undrawn committed working-capital facilities of THB5.1 billion.

Issuer Profile

EPG is a leading manufacturer of ethylene propylene diene monomer rubber insulation, polymer and plastic automotive parts and accessories, and food and beverage plastic packaging. Automotive parts contributed around 47% of revenue, insulation about 32% and packaging about 21%.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

MACROECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS AND SECTOR FORECASTS

[Click here](#) to access Fitch's latest quarterly Global Corporates Sector Forecasts Monitor data file which aggregates key data points used in our credit analysis. Fitch's macroeconomic forecasts, commodity price assumptions, default rate forecasts, sector key performance indicators and sector-level forecasts are among the data items included.

Fitch Ratings Analysts

Wipawan Siriphaosuwankul

Associate Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+66 2 108 0160

Fitch Ratings (Thailand) Limited Park Ventures, Level 17 57 Wireless Road, Lumpini Bangkok 10330

Sittipon Samakkeenitch

Associate Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+66 2 108 0161

Nitin Soni

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+65 6796 7235

Media Contacts

Leslie Tan

Singapore

+65 6796 7234

leslie.tan@thefitchgroup.com

Peter Hoflich

Singapore

+65 6796 7229

peter.hoflich@thefitchgroup.com

Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR
Eastern	Natl LT	A-(tha) ●	Affirmed
			A-(tha) ●

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING		RECOVERY	PRIOR
Polymer Group Public Company Limited				
• senior unsecured	Natl LT	A-(tha)	Affirmed	A-(tha)

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

POSITIVE	⊕	◆
NEGATIVE	⊖	◆
EVOLVING	◊	◆
STABLE	◐	

Applicable Criteria

[Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub.09 Jan 2026\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Corporates Recovery Ratings and Instrument Ratings Criteria \(pub.02 Aug 2024\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[National Scale Rating Criteria \(pub.22 Dec 2020\)](#)

[Sector Navigators – Addendum to the Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub.09 Jan 2026\)](#)

Applicable Models

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Corporate Monitoring & Forecasting Model (COMFORT Model), v8.2.0 [\(1\)](#)

Additional Disclosures

[Solicitation Status](#)

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts

of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see [Best- and Worst-Case Measures](#) under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2026 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

Endorsement policy

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation or the UK Regulation, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.